

Theory and History of Ontology (www.ontology.co) by Raul Corazzon | e-mail: rc@ontology.co

Selected bibliography of John Poinsoot (John of St. Thomas)

Contents

This part of the section [Theory of Ontology](#) includes the following pages:

[The Rediscovery of John Poinsoot \(John of St. Thomas\)](#)

[Selected bibliography on John Poinsoot](#) (Current page)

John Deely. An Annotated bibliography:

[1965 - 1998](#)

[1999 - 2010](#)

Works of John Poinsoot translated in English

1. Poinsoot, John. 1985. *Tractatus de Signis. The Semiotic of John Poinsoot*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
Interpretive arrangement in bilingual format (Latin and English) by John N. Deely in consultation with Ralph Austin Powell from the 1930 Reiser edition (emended second impression, 1932) of the *Artis Logicae Prima et Secunda Pars* of the *Cursus Philosophicus Tomisticus*, comprising the first two parts of the five part *Cursus Philosophicus* of 1631-1635.
This work is also available as a text database as an Intalex Electronic Edition. Corrected second edition, with a new preface by John Deely, South Bend, St. Augustine Press, 2013.
Review by J. E. Ashworth, "The historical origins of John Poinsoot's *Treatise on Signs*", *Semiotica*, 69, 1988, 129-147.
2. John, of St. Thomas. 1955. *Outlines of Formal Logic*. Milwaukee: Marquette University Press.
Translated from the Latin with an introduction by Francis C. Wade.
3. ———. 1955. *The Material Logic of John of St. Thomas: Basic Treatises*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Translated by Yves R. Simon, John J. Glanville and G. Donald Hollenhorst. With a preface by Jacques Maritain.
4. ———. 2004. *Introduction to the Summa Theologiae of Thomas Aquinas: the Isagoge of John of St. Thomas*. South Bend: St. Augustine Press.
Translation and introduction by Ralph McNerny.

5. ———. 2022. *John of St. Thomas [Poinset] on Sacred Science: Cursus Theologicus I, Question 1, Disputation 2*. South Bend: St. Augustine Press. Edited by Victor M. Salas and translated by John P. Doyle (Not yet published).
6. ———. 1949. "Entia Rationis and Second Intentions." *New Scholasticism* no. 23:395-413.
Translated by John J. Â Glanville, G. Donald Hollenhorst, Yves R. Simon.
Logic: Second Part, Question II - Article 1: Nature and division of the Ens rationis; Article 2: Nature and division of the second intention or logical Relatio rationis.
Editor's Note: "These pages are from a translation of the Basic Treatises of the Logic of John of St. Thomas, to be published by the University of Chicago Press, [*The material logic of John of St. Thomas: basic treatises* (1955), pp. 60-76] whose courtesy for the present excerpt is gratefully acknowledged."
7. ———. 1951. *The Gifts of the Holy Ghost*. London: Sheed & Ward.
A translation of part of vol. 5 of *Cursus theologicus* by Dominic Hughes, with a foreword by Walter Farrell.

Main studies about the philosophy of John Poinset

(The contributions by **John Deely** are listed in his bibliography).

1. "John Poinset." 1994. *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68 (3).
Special issue on John Poinset (John of St. Thomas) - Table of contents: John Deely: A morning and evening star: editor's introduction pp. 259-278; Mauricio Beuchot: Intentionality in John Poinset pp. 279-296; John C. Cahalan: If Wittgenstein had read Poinset: recasting the problem of signs and mental states pp. 297-320; Jeffrey S. Coombs: John Poinset on how to be, know, and love a non-existent possible pp. 321-336; John P. Doyle: Poinset on knowability of beings of reason pp. 337-362; Vincent Guagliardo: Being-as-first-known in Poinset: a priori or aporia? pp. 363-394; Michael Raposa: Poinset on the semiotic of awareness pp. 395-408; Douglas B. Rasmussen: The significance for cognitive realism of the thought of John Poinset pp. 409-424; Norman J. Wells: John Poinset on created eternal truths vs. Vasquez, Suárez and Descartes pp- 425-446.
2. Ashworth, Earline Jennifer. 1988. "The Historical Origins of John Poinset's *Treatise on Signs*." *Semiotica* no. 69:129-147.
"In 1631-1632 John Poinset (otherwise known as John of St. Thomas) published his *Ars Logica* at Alcalá. From this massive work John Deely has extracted all those parts relating to the theory of signs, and has given them the general heading of *Tractatus de Signis* (*Treatise on Signs*), though it should be noted that the *Treatise on Signs* proper consists of just three Questions related to Aristotle's *Perihermenias*. The project is a valuable one, for Poinset was an interesting writer in his own right who frequently had original observations to make. Deely's contribution, so far as the edition and translation are concerned, is superb; and the book itself is a splendid example of the printer's art. However, I have some very grave reservations about Deely's interpretation of Poinset's work, and it is these reservations that I intend to discuss here. Others (notably Sebeok 1986) have already sung the praises of Deely and Poinset; and as one of the few philosophers who has actually read some of the sixteenth-century authors to whom Poinset was indebted, I feel it incumbent on me to point out that there is another side to the coin. However, I do not intend my remarks to detract in any way from the achievement represented by Deely's version of the *Treatise on Signs*.
I shall first discuss Deely's attitude toward the historical interpretation of Poinset and how it differs from my own. In so doing, I shall show that there was a tradition of placing the discussion of signs in a *Perihermenias* commentary. Second, I shall

discuss the topic of relations, since Deely claims that the 'revolutionary' nature of Poinset's doctrine of signs stems from his classification of relations. I shall remark that a very similar classification of relations is found in at least one of Poinset's sources, namely Domingo de Soto (1494-1560). Third, I shall discuss the details of the theory of signs as described by some early sixteenth-century writers, and I shall show that the general lines of Poinset's classification are due to Domingo de Soto. Finally, I shall make some remarks about other aspects of the translation and editorial material which seem to need further comment.

* John N. Deely (trans. and ed.), with Ralph Austin Powell, *Tractatus de Signis. The Semiotic of John Poinset*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1985.

3. Bellerate, Bruno. 1958. "Conceito de existência em João de s. Tomás." *Filosofia* no. 5:154-169.
Reprinted in: Jesué Pinharanda de Gomes (ed.) - *Antologia de estudos sobre João de Santo Tomás* - Lisboa, Edição do Instituto Amaro da Costa, 1985.
4. ———. 1960. *L'analogia tomista nei grandi commentatori di S. Tommaso*. Roma: Editrice Salesiana.
5. Beuchot, Mauricio. 1980. "La doctrina tomista clásica sobre el signo: Domingo de Soto, Francisco de Araújo y Juan de santo Tomás." *Critica*:39-60.
6. ———. 1989. "El problema de los universales en Juan de santo Tomás." *Revista de filosofía (Maracaibo)* no. 12:33-42.
7. ———. 1994. "Intentionality in John Poinset." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:279-296.
8. ———. 1999. *Semiótica, filosofía del lenguaje y argumentación en Juan de santo Tomás*. Pamplona: Universidad de Navarra.
9. Beuchot, Mauricio, and Deely, John. 1995. "Common sources for the semiotic of Charles Peirce and John Poinset." *Review of Metaphysics* no. 48:549-566.
10. Bondi, Eugene. 1966. "Predication: a study based on the "Ars Logica" of John of st. Thomas." *Thomist* no. 30:260-294.
11. Cahalan, John C. 1994. "If Wittgenstein had read Poinset: recasting the problem of signs and mental states." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:297-319.
12. Coombs, Jeffrey S. 1994. "John Poinset on how to be, know, and love a non-existent possible." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:321-335.
13. Dalcourt, Gerard J. 1994. "Poinset and the mental imagery debate." *Modern Schoolman* no. 72:1-12.
14. Doyle, John J. 1953. "John of st. Thomas on Mathematical Logic." *New Scholasticism* no. 27:3-38.
15. Doyle, John Patrick. 1994. "Poinset on the Knowability of Beings of Reason." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:337-362.
16. Fernández Rodríguez, José Luis. 1997. "Tipología del ente de razón." *Anuario Filosófico* no. 30:361-379.
17. Forlivesi, Marco. 1993. *Conoscenza e affettività. L'incontro con l'essere secondo Giovanni di san Tommaso*. Bologna: Edizioni Studio Domenicano.
18. Furton, Edward J. 1995. *A medieval semiotic. Reference and representation in John of st. Thomas' theory of signs*. New York: Peter Lang.
19. ———. 1997. "The constitution of the object in Immanuel Kant and John Poinset." *Review of Metaphysics* no. 51:55-75.
"Kant was unaware, as are most academic philosophers today, that late Latin scholastics, especially on the Iberian peninsula, had also struggled for an account of the intellect's ability to order our experience of the real and so constitute a properly

scientific object. The results of this effort were, of course, quite unlike those of the Kantian solution and compatible with a completely different view of the natural order. Even more important for the history of Western philosophy, the results were immediately and thoroughly eclipsed by the rise of Cartesianism. The great scholastic effort to understand how scientific objects are constituted passed from the modern period into intellectual oblivion.

Yet there are ample reasons to think that an exploration of these forgotten, pre-Kantian views might shed some light on contemporary efforts to fashion a postclassical epistemology and philosophy of science. Despite the more primitive cosmology, basic concepts of epistemological theory developed by the Latins are far more easily disengaged from medieval physics than are Kantian concepts from Newtonian mechanics. Kant is committed in principle to the view that space, for example, is mathematizable a priori in a completely deterministic manner. This is a much more wide-ranging and deeply-rooted metaphysical commitment than is the claim, for example, that there are only six observable planets.

What follows is an examination of a generally forgotten theory of objective constitution—one that avoids unnecessary entanglements with the determinism of Newtonian mechanics if only by predating the Cartesian and Kantian turns. It is a theory that in principle allows nature to live by other rules than those of mechanical necessity and one that, I believe, rightly recognizes that nature's laws can suffer exception without thereby destroying the possibility of scientific knowledge. Moreover, it is a 'bridge' theory that unites classical and contemporary philosophic tendencies, for despite its strong medieval roots, it is a theory largely committed to the fundamental insight of modernity that the knower, in some measure, must condition the object known." p. 55

20. Glanville, John J. 1958. "Zabarella and Poinset on the Object and Nature of Logic." In *Readings in Logic*, edited by Houde, Roland, 204-226. Dubuque: Brown.
21. Gomes, Pinharanda. 1983. *João de Sancto Tomás na filosofia do século XVII*. Lisboa: Ministério da Educação.
22. Gracia, Jorge J.E., and Kronen, John D. 1994. "John of saint Thomas." In *Individuation in Scholasticism. The Later Middle Ages and the Counter-Reformation, 1150-1650*, edited by Gracia, Jorge J.E., 511-533. New York: State University of New York Press.
23. Guagliardo, Vincent. 1994. "Being-as-First-Known in Poinset: a-priori or aporia?" *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:363-394.
24. Herculano de Carvalho, José. 1960. "Segno e significazione in João de são Tomás." In *Aufsätze zur Portugiesischen Kulturgeschichte - Vol. 2*, edited by Flasche, Hans, Briesemeister, Dietrich and Körner, Karl-Hermann, 161-176. Münster: Aschendorff. Reprinted in: José Herculano de Carvalho - *Estudos linguísticos*, vol. II, pp. 131-168, Atlântida Editora, Coimbra 1973
25. Kennedy, Leonard A. 1972. "La doctrina de la existencia en la Universidad de Salamanca durante el siglo XVI." *Archivo Teológico Granadino* no. 35:5-71.
26. Kronen, John D. 1994. "The Substantial Unity of Material Substances According to John Poinset." *Thomist* no. 58:599-615.
27. Marmo, Costantino. 1987. "The semiotics of John Poinset." *Versus* no. 46:109-129.
28. Maroosis, James. 2003. "Poinset, Peirce, and Pegis: knowing as a way of being." In *A Thomistic Tapestry. Essays in Memory of Étienne Gilson*, edited by Redpath, Peter A., 157-176. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
29. Moreno, Alberto. 1959. "Implicación material en Juan de santo Tomás." *Sapientia* no. 14:188-191.
30. ———. 1963. "Lógica proposicional en Juan de santo Tomás." *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* no. 4:113-134.

31. Murphy, James Bernard. 1983. "Nature, custom and stipulation in the semiotic of John Poinsoot." *Semiotica* no. 83:33-68.
32. ———. 1994. "Language, Communication, and Representation in the Semiotic of John Poinsoot." *Thomist* no. 58:569-598.
33. Nuchelmans, Gabriel. 1980. *Late-Scholastic and Humanist theories of the proposition*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
34. ———. 1983. *Judgment and proposition. From Descartes to Kant*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
35. ———. 1994. "Can a mental proposition change its truth value? Some 17th-century views." *History and Philosophy of Logic* no. 15:69-84.
Reprinted in: Gabriel Nuchelmans - *Studies on the History of Logic and Semantics, 12th-17th Centuries*, edited by Egbert Peter Bos, Variorum, Aldershot, 1996, (Text XIV).
36. Powell, Ralph Austin. 1987. "Poinsoot as foil for doctrinal considerations on inexistent personality in existent substance according to C. S. Peirce." In *Semiotics 1983*, edited by Evans, Jonathan and Deely, John, 93-104. Lanham: University Press of America.
37. Raposa, Michael. 1994. "Poinsoot on the semiotics of awareness." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:395-408.
38. Rasmussen, Douglas B. 1994. "The significance for cognitive realism of the thought of John Poinsoot." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:409-424.
39. Santaella-Braga, Lucia. 1991. "John Poinsoot's doctrine of signs: the recovery of a missing link." *Journal of Speculative Philosophy* no. 51:151-159.
40. Solana, Marcial. 1928. *Los grandes escolásticos españoles de los siglos XVI y XVII: suas doctrinas filosóficas y su significación en la Histoire de la Filosofía*. Madrid: Jaime Ratés.
41. Tello, Belisario D. 1954. "El ente de razón según Juan de santo Tomás." *Philosophia* no. 11:43-50.
42. Thomas, Ivo. 1950. "Material implication in John of st. Thomas." *Dominican Studies* no. 3:180-185.
43. Tyn, Thomas. 1989. "L'essere nel pensiero di Giovanni di san Tommaso." *Angelicum* no. 66:21-55.
44. Wells, Norman J. 1994. "John Poinsoot on created eternal truths vs. Vasquez, Suárez and Descartes." *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* no. 68:425-446.
45. Winance, Eleuthère. 1985. "Echo de la querelle du psychologisme et de l'antipsychologisme dans l'"*Ars logica*" de Jean Poinsoot." *Semiotica* no. 56:225-259.
46. Wojtkiewicz, C. 1961. "L'objet de la logique chez Jean de saint-Thomas." *Roczniki Filozoficzne* no. 9:1-21.
47. Wolicka, Elzbieta. 1979. "The notion of truth in the epistemology of John of saint Thomas." *New Scholasticism* no. 53:96-106.