

CONTENTS

Preface	xv
Part I: <u>Introductory Remarks about Ontology, Realism, and Empiricism</u>	
Chapter 1: <u>The Task Of Ontology</u>	3
(1) Ontology searches for the categories of the world	
(2) And in order to discover these categories, we must analyze facts	
(3) Ontology categorizes all and only those objects which have being	
(4) But ontology does not try to decide what particular entities there are	
(5) Definitions are the bane of ontology	
Chapter 2: <u>A Defense of Realism</u>	19
(6) Cartesian realism contains the seeds of idealism	
(7) One of these seeds is the spurious distinction between primary and secondary qualities	
(8) The argument from colorless atoms does not call for this distinction	
(9) Nor does the argument from the relativity of sensing	
(10) For, perception does not consist of sensing plus inference	
(11) Another seed of idealism is Descartes' "new way of ideas"	
(12) But knowledge is not confined to the contents of our minds	
(13) Finally, the argument from hallucination does not prove that we perceive sense-impressions	

(14) But, rather, that we can perceive objects which do not exist	
Chapter 3: <u>A Defense of Empiricism</u>	34
(15) Platonism is the root of rationalism	
(16) But there are no unexemplified universals	
(17) And such things as numbers are just as sensible as colors	
(18) Rationalism is also fostered by the pernicious dogma of space and time as forms of sensibility	
(19) But what we perceive are states of affairs and their constituents	
Part II: <u>The Categories of the World</u>	49
Chapter 1: <u>Individuals</u>	51
I. <u>Categorized as Particulars</u>	51
(20) The fundamental question is: Are individuals particulars or else bundles of properties?	
(21) Particulars, contrary to Descartes, are not recognizable as such	
(22) But this does not mean, contrary to Locke, that particulars are unknowable	
(23) And it does not mean, contrary to Berkely, that we are not acquainted with particulars	
(24) Particulars, contrary to Leibniz, do not contain their properties	
II. <u>Categorized as Bundles of Properties</u>	61
(25) A so-called bundle is a structure consisting of properties in an association relation	
(26) If this association relation has two places, then there must also exist "combinations" of properties	
(27) And this raises the important question of what such a "combination" of properties is	
(28) Furthermore, the bundle view implies the principle of the identity of indiscernibles for properties	
(29) For, relations cannot differentiate between bundles of properties	
(30) The bundle view must also face the epistemological problem of how we can perceive several qualitatively indistinguishable individuals	
(31) This problem leads Stout to claim that individuals are bundles of instances rather than of universals	
(32) The bundle view has the problem of how to accommodate relations	

(33) And it also has the problem of how to accommodate properties of properties	
(34) But the bundle view does not imply that all predication is analytic	
III. <u>Space and Time</u>	89
(35) Particulars have spatial parts	
(36) Particulars have temporal parts	
(37) Particulars are changing continuants	
(38) Events are either attributes or else structures	
(39) Minds are temporal structures	
Chapter 2: <u>Properties</u>	102
I. <u>Categorized as Instances</u>	102
(40) The fundamental question is: Are properties concrete or are they abstract?	
(41) Properties are not concrete, for they are not located in space or time	
(42) The argument from different second-level properties does not show that properties are instances	
(43) Nor does the Kantian dogma of space and time as forms of sensibility prove that properties are instances	
(44) Most importantly, the instance view must face the sorting problem	
(45) But the sorting problem cannot be solved in terms of concrete entities alone	
(46) And it cannot be solved by means of similarity relations	
(47) Properties cannot be eliminated through definition by abstraction	
II. <u>Categorized as Universals</u>	117
(48) The main problem for the universal view is to explain the nature of exemplification	
(49) An individual is not identical with its species	
(50) Nor does an individual resemble its species	
(51) Nor is the relation between individual and species the same as that between object and concept	
(52) There are no common natures	
(53) Universals depend in various ways on other entities for their existence	
III. <u>Essential and Accidental Properties</u>	133
(54) Since there are no instances the distinction between essential and accidental properties must not rely on their existence	
(55) Rather, essential properties are those properties of an entity which it has lawfully	
(56) Another, less important, notion of an essential	

property is that of a scientifically important property	
(57) Necessary properties are simply the same as essential properties	
IV. <u>Simple and Complex Properties</u>	144
(58) The crucial insight is that the principle of property abstraction is false	
(59) There are no complex properties, only complex facts	
(60) No scientific discover could prove that there are conjunctive properties	
(61) Since there are no complex properties, there are also no individual essences	
Chapter 3: <u>Relations</u>	155
I. <u>Reduced to Foundations</u>	155
(62) The Aristotelian tradition has not been hospitable to relations	
(63) But relations are not mere <u>entia rationis</u>	
(64) And they cannot be reduced to foundations, firstly, because foundations themselves must be ordered	
(65) And secondly, because foundations must also be coordinated with each other	
(66) Thirdly, every reduction to foundations employs so-called "formal relations"	
II. <u>Accepted as Irreducible</u>	164
(67) Relations are uniquely characterized by having directions	
(68) One of Bradley's arguments merely shows that a certain argument for the existence of relations is not sound	
(69) And his famous regress argument merely proves that relations obtain between entities without being related to them in turn	
III. <u>The Nexus of Identity</u>	170
(70) Identity is clearly a two-place relation	
(71) Many identity statements are informative	
(72) But informative identity statements do not pose Frege's dilemma	
(73) For, informative identity statements are not instances of the ontological law of self-identity	
(74) The issue has been muddled by being blended with the epistemological problem of how we think of individuals	
(75) We must, at any rate, distinguish between demonstratives, names, and description expressions	

(76) And we must also distinguish between knowledge by acquaintance and knowledge by name only	
(77) The so-called Leibniz-Russell definition of identity is a mere equivalence	
IV. <u>The Nexus of Intentionality</u>	189
(78) A relational account of intentionality faces the problem of nonexistent objects	
(79) Brentano tries to solve this problem by tampering with the notion of a relation	
(80) Russell tries to solve the problem at first by proclaiming the being of nonexistent objects	
(81) Later on, Russell advocates the view that belief is a many-place relation	
(82) Twardowski solves the problem of nonexistent objects by accepting "abnormal" relations	
(83) The intentional nexus must be distinguished from the representation relation	
Chapter 4: <u>Classes</u>	204
I. <u>Categorized as Sets</u>	204
(84) Cantor's description of classes as wholes needs to be amplified	
(85) Russell's conception of a class as "many" is incoherent	
(86) Since a class is distinct from its unit class, it cannot be a whole	
II. <u>Categorized as Wholes</u>	212
(87) Halmos' description of classes as wholes is therefore wrong	
(88) The empty class is a convenient fiction	
(89) For wholes, there exists no parallel to class inclusion	
III. <u>Tied to Properties</u>	216
(90) Frege ties classes too closely to properties	
(91) As a consequence, he is faced with paradox	
(92) Russell tries to eliminate classes in favor of properties	
(93) But, of course, he does not succeed	
IV. <u>The Lesson of Paradox</u>	223
(94) Type theory is not the correct response to paradox	
(95) Nor will it do to decree that properties cannot have properties	
(96) The class-set distinction is not the correct response to paradox	
(97) Nor can we blame a vicious circle for the creation of paradox	
(98) The paradoxes are simply nonexistence proofs which shock our intuitions	

Chapter 5: <u>Wholes</u>	238
I. <u>Categorized as "Gestalten"</u>	238
(99) Mach raised the problem of how wholes are experienced	
(100) Ehrenfels correctly argues that the experience of a whole is not a class of experiences	
(101) But the fact that wholes are not classes does not imply that they are unanalyzable	
(102) Nor does it imply that wholes determine the nature of their parts	
(103) But it is true that wholes have emergent properties	
II. <u>Categorized as Structures</u>	250
(104) Structures can be similar to each other in different ways	
(105) Structures are uniquely characterized by the fact that they may be isomorphic to each other	
(106) Since isomorphic structures need not be identical, there are no implicit definitions	
(107) And for the same reason, isomorphic structures cannot be reduced to each other	
III. <u>Sense Dimensions</u>	260
(108) Synthetic <u>a priori</u> truths are truths about our sense dimensions	
(109) Such truths are known <u>a priori</u> , since they are known without induction	
(110) And they are necessary, since we cannot imagine them to be false	
(111) This kind of necessity must be distinguished from necessity as lawfulness	
IV. <u>Descriptions</u>	269
(112) Frege's fundamental insight is that there are two objects connected with every description expression	
(113) Russell's fundamental insight is that sentences with description expressions are not of the subject-predicate form	
(114) Definite descriptions are abstract structures of a certain kind	
(115) And so are indefinite descriptions	
(116) The relation between a description and what it describes is grounded in an identity	
(117) Since descriptions are structures, they obey the identity condition for structures	
V. <u>Functions</u>	283
(118) Proper functions are structures which are parts of descriptions	
(119) Proper functions are not represented by forms	

(120) Proper functions must be distinguished from the relations which they contain	
(121) Propositional functions must be distinguished from the properties which they contain	
(122) So-called "relational properties" are not properties at all, but are structures	
(123) So-called "second-level functions" are structures which contain quantifiers	
(124) The variable <u>entity</u> forms a transcendental category	
Chapter 6: <u>Numbers</u>	293
I. <u>Categorized as Multitudes</u>	293
(125) Euclid defines numbers as multitudes of units	
(126) Husserl's version of Euclid's definition fails, firstly, because the connective <u>and</u> is not the sum relation	
(127) And it fails, secondly, because the notion of something is not the notion of the number one	
II. <u>Categorized as Properties</u>	299
(128) Frege argues correctly that the number one is not a property	
(129) He also argues correctly that numbers cannot be properties of individuals	
(130) Numbers are not relational properties of properties	
(131) Numbers are not contextually defined properties of properties	
(132) Nor, finally, are numbers straightforward properties of properties or of classes	
III. <u>Categorized as Classes</u>	306
(133) Frege's famous definition is an informative identity statement	
(134) This identity statement is false because numbers have attributes which classes do not have, and conversely	
(135) So-called "recursive definitions" of arithmetic relations are really descriptions of these relations	
(136) And, hence, they do not reduce arithmetic relations to anything else	
IV. <u>Categorized as Quantifiers</u>	312
(137) Numbers are definite quantifiers	
(138) Russell's argument against the existence of the indefinite quantifier <u>all</u> is not sound	
(139) The quantifier <u>nothing</u> is not nothing	
(140) The quantifier <u>some</u> has nothing to do with existence	
(141) So-called "ordinal numbers" are not really	

numbers, but classes of well-ordered structures	
(142) The real numbers cannot be reduced to the natural numbers by "stepwise definition"	
Chapter 7: <u>Facts</u>	324
I. <u>Categorized as True Propositions</u>	324
(143) Brentano correctly insists that judgments form an irreducible kind of mental act	
(144) Bolzano correctly maintains that judgments intend propositions rather than things	
(145) But he is mistaken in thinking that false propositions subsist	
(146) McTaggart's solution to the problem of false belief is unsatisfactory	
(147) Ryle's solution to the problem eliminates false belief altogether	
(148) Bergmann's solution leads back to the subsistence of false propositions	
II. <u>Categorized as Existing States of Affairs</u>	335
(149) Meinong's objectives are similar to our states of affairs	
(150) Bolzano is also mistaken in holding that the idea of Socrates rather than Socrates himself is a constituent of propositions	
(151) Frege, by the way, makes the same mistake	
(152) Finally, Bolzano is mistaken in thinking that truth is a property of propositions	
(153) Truth is a property of beliefs, assertions, etc.	
(154) But to say that a belief is true is to say nothing else but that it intends a fact	
(155) The test for factuality is coherence	
(156) Factuality is a categorial feature of the world	
(157) Finally, if false as well as true propositions subsisted, then the real world would not be distinguished from any other	
III. <u>Atomic and Molecular Facts</u>	350
(158) Wittgenstein's objection to molecular facts rests on a confusion between equivalence and identity	
(159) Atomic facts cannot make molecular sentences true	
(160) Negation cannot be reduced to an incompatibility relation	
(161) Nor can it be reduced to negative exemplification	
(162) Nor, finally, can it be reduced to negative properties	
(163) Negation forms a category of its own	

IV. <u>Logical Laws and Analyticity</u>	359
(164) Connectives and quantifiers do not characterize logic	
(165) Propositional logic formulates under what general conditions states of affairs obtain	
(166) Predicate logic formulates under what general conditions properties are exemplified and relations hold	
(167) Our characterization of logic implies that it is the theory of valid deductions	
(168) A sentence is analytic if its expanded version is an instance of a law of logic	
V. <u>The Fundamental Notion of Necessity</u>	367
(169) Necessity is lawfulness	
(170) There are therefore different kinds of necessity and possibility	
(171) And necessity and possibility can therefore also be explicated in terms of consistency between possible worlds	
VI. <u>The Identity of Facts</u>	374
(172) Facts are identical if they have the same constituents in the same order	
(173) Logically equivalent sentences, therefore, need not represent the same fact	
(174) Substitutivity in belief contexts is an important criterion for identity of facts	
(175) Equivalent sentences, quite obviously, need not be substitutable <u>salva veritate</u>	
(176) The law of substitutivity of identicals holds without restriction for belief and modal contexts	
(177) What breaks down for such contexts is, rather, the pernicious principle of extensionality	
(178) The law of existential generalization holds without restriction for belief and modal contexts	
Part III: <u>Concluding Remarks about the Nature of Existence</u>	385
Chapter I: <u>The Spurious Doctrine of Modes of Being</u>	387
(179) Existence must be distinguished from exemplification	
(180) Existence must be distinguished from concreteness	
(181) Existence must be distinguished from being an object of thought	

Chapter 2: <u>Existence Conceived of as a</u> <u>Property of Properties</u>	393
(182) The word 'exists' is not just an empty sound	
(183) Existence is not a property of properties	
(184) For, it makes perfect sense to attribute existence to individual things	
Chapter 3: <u>Existence Conceived of as the</u> <u>Substratum of the World</u>	402
(185) Existence is undefinable	
(186) Existence is not a property, but the ultimate substratum for all attributes	
(187) Some objects of thought do not exist	
(188) Nonexistent objects have no properties	
(189) Nonexistent individuals are not constituents of fact	
(190) There are two kinds of negative existence facts	
Bibliography	417
Index of Names	429