Theory and History of Ontology (www.ontology.co) by Raul Corazzon | e-mail: rc@ontology.co

## The Stoic Doctrine of Supreme Genera (Categories)

#### **Contents**

This part of the section History of the Doctrine of Categories includes of the following pages:

The Doctrine of Categories from an Historical Perspective

Semantics and Ontological Analysis in Aristotle's Categories

Aristotle's *Categories*. Annotated bibliography of the studies in English:

A - C

D - Het

Hin - N

O - Z

Bibliographie des études en français sur les Catégories d'Aristote

Bibliografia degli studi Italiani sulle Categorie di Aristotele

Bibliographie der deutschen Studien zur Aristoteles Kategorien

The Stoic Doctrine of Supreme Genera (Categories) (Current page)

Bibliography on The Stoic Doctrine of Supreme Genera (Categories)

Plotinus' Criticism of the Doctrine of Categories (Enneads VI, 1-3)

Selected bibliography on Plotinus' Criticism of the Doctrine of Categories

Ancient Greek Commentaries on Aristotle's Categories

Selected bibliography on the Ancient Greek Commentaries on Aristotle's Categories

Index of the Pages on Ancient Philosophy until Hellenistic Period

Aristotle's Doctrine of Categories: annotated bibliography of the studies in English: Complete PDF Version on the website Academia.edu

### The Doctrine of Categories in the Middle Ages

Eriugena, Periphyseon Book I: Aristotelian Logic and Categories

Latin Medieval Commentators on Aristotle's Categories

Selected bibliography on the Latin Medieval Commentators:

Commentaries on Aristotle's Categories

Commentators on Aristotle's Categories

Index of the Pages on Medieval Philosophy

#### The Doctrine of Categories from Descartes to Kant

(Under Construction)

Index of the Pages on Modern and Contemporary Philosophy

## Introduction

"In addition to developing the hypothetical syllogism, Stoic logic also elaborated categories, which likewise stand in contrast to Aristotelian thought. The Stoics teach that there are four categories: substance, quality, disposition, and relative disposition.(140) Rather than being horizontal, signifying aspects of an enduring substance which are accidental and which can be shorn from it without destroying its essence, the Stoic categories are vertical. They move from lesser to greater levels of concreteness. None is accidental; all must be present in a given reality if that reality is to be grasped in all its individuality. Substance denotes the materiality of a thing and is possessed by everything except the incorporeals. Quality denotes the way in which matter is organized to form an individual being. Disposition includes times, places, actions, size, and color. It describes the particular situation and attributes of the individual. All the features covered by the category of disposition, including color,(141) are regarded by the Stoics as inherent in the individual. This view harmonizes with the doctrine in Stoic physics that bodies create their own extension and their own time and space, so to speak, through their *tonos* and activity. Relative disposition denotes the way

that an individual thing is related to other phenomena. None of the four Stoic categories can be removed from an individual being without that being ceasing to be itself. At each level of specificity the categories refer to something integral to the individual being's reality. The categories mirror the physics of concrete individual events taught by the Stoa. Although officially classified under logic, the Stoic categories are really pertinent to physics since they are modes of expressing reality.(142)"

(142) Bochenski, Ancient Formal Logic, p. 87; Bréhier, Chrysippe, pp. 132-33; Phillip De-Lacy, "The Stoic Categories as Methodological Principles," Transactions and Proceedings of the American Philological Association, 76 (1945), 246-63; Goldschmidt, Le système stoïcien, p. 23; Sambursky, Physics of the Stoics, p. 18; Sandbach, The Stoics, pp. 93-94. On the other hand, A. C. Lloyd, "Grammar and Metaphysics in the Stoa," in Problems in Stoicism, ed. Long, p. 65 and Rist, Stoic Philosophy, pp. 15260 argue that the categories should be regarded entirely as lekta. (pp. 55-56)

From: Marcia L. Colish, *The Stoic Tradition from Antiquity to the Early Middle Ages. I. Stoicism in Classical Latin Literature*, Leiden: Brill 1985.

# Related pages

On the website "Theory and History of Ontology" (www.ontology.co)

Selected bibliography on The Stoic Doctrine of Supreme Genera (Categories)

Index of the Section "History of the Doctrine of Categories"

Index of the Section: Ancient Philosophy from the Presocratics to the Hellenistic Period

### Related pages

On the website "Theory and History of Ontology" (www.ontology.co)

Bibliography on the Stoic Doctrine of Categories

Stoic Metaphysics:

The Stoic Doctrine of Supreme Genera (Categories)

The Stoic Doctrine of "Something" as Supreme Genus (under construction)

Index of the Section "History of the Doctrine of Categories"

Index of the Section: Ancient Philosophy from the Presocratics to the Hellenistic Period

On the website "History of Logic"

Ancient Stoicism: The Collection of Fragments

Critical Editions and translations of the Fragments and Testimonia

The Dialectical (Megarian) School and the Origins of Propositional Logic (under construction)

Bibliography on The Dialectical (Megarian) School

Bibliography on the "Master Argument", Diodorus Cronus, Philo the Dialectician

Stoic Logic:

Stoic Logic: The Dialectic from Zeno to Chrysippus

The Stoic Doctrine of *Lekta* (Sayables)

Second Part: The Rhetoric (under construction)

Stoic Philosophy of Language and Grammar (under construction)

Annotated Bibliographies on Ancient Stoic Logic:

Stoic Logic. First Part: A - E

Stoic Logic. Second Part: F - Z

Early Stoic Logicians: Zeno of Citium, Cleanthes, Chrysippus

Philosophy of Language, Grammar and Rhetoric